सत्यमेव जयते

नीति आयोग

National Institution for Transforming India

DEEP DIVE

DECEMBER 2018

Insights from Household Survey

Second Delta Ranking Overall & Theme-wise

Detailed Methodology

Half-yearly Baseline Ranking

ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS PROGRAMME

CHAMPIONSOFCHANGE.GOV.IN

About Aspirational Districts Programme

The Aspirational Districts Programme was launched in January 2018 with the aim of expeditiously improving the socio-economic status of 112 districts through cooperative and competitive federalism. Driven primarily by the States, this initiative focuses on the strengths of each district and prioritizes the attainable outcomes for immediate improvement.

The programme tracks 81 data-points across six themes with direct bearing on the quality of life and economic productivity of citizens. The themes and their weights are as follows.

HEALTH & NUTRITION
30%

EDUCATION
30%

AGRICULTURE & WATER RESOURCES
20%

FINANCIAL INCLUSION
5%

SKILL DEVELOPMENT
5%

BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE
10%

The real-time monitoring dashboard can be accessed at
http://championsofchange.gov.in

Insights from Household Survey

NITI Aayog's knowledge partners TATA Trusts and BMGF (IDInsight) carried out household surveys in all Aspirational Districts during the month of June 2018 covering more than 1,00,000 households. These surveys were used to validate 20 self-reported data-points & estimate 9 further data-points for which district-level data is not readily available at regular intervals.

The indicators estimated through the household survey are as follows:

Health
- Percentage of stunted children under 6 years
- Percentage of breast-feeding children receiving adequate diet (6 -23 months)

Education
- Learning outcomes - Average performance of Class 3, 5 & 8 students in Mathematics and Language
- Female Literacy

Through the Aspirational Districts Programme, districts will receive timely data on the above indicators to evaluate, improve and expand their developmental projects. A few observations from the household survey follow

Learning Outcomes

Students in several districts improved their average marks in both Mathematics & Language compared to National Achievement Survey 2017

Number of districts with performance improvement - Language

Class 3	71
Class 5	67
Class 8	61

Number of districts (y-axis: 56 to 72)

Average marks scored by students in Class 3 has seen significant improvement, indicating rising standards of elementary education

Number of Districts with performance improvement - Mathematics

Class 3	50
Class 5	9
Class 8	5

Number of districts (y-axis: 0 to 60)

Learning Outcomes - Most Improved Districts

Improvement in average mathematics score across Class 3, 5, 8

Improvement in average language score across Class 3, 5, 8

Percentage of stunted children under 5 yrs

*Addressing malnutrition is a priority for the Government. Below are the districts which have reduced the incidence of stunting compared to NFHS-4 (2015-16) estimates.*

Assam

BAKSA, BARPETA, DARRANG, DHUBRI, GOALPARA

Jharkhand

GARHWA, HAZARIBAGH PASCHIMI SINGHBHUM SAHIBGANJ

Bihar

BEGUSARAI, KATIHAR, SITAMARHI

Chhattisgarh

DANTEWADA MAHASAMUND, SUKMA

Rajasthan

BARAN, DHOLPUR

Karnataka

YADGIR

Haryana

MEWAT

Madhya Pradesh

BARWANI

Odisha

RAYAGADA

Maharashtra

NANDURBAR

Sikkim

WEST DISTRICT

Meghalaya

RIBHOI

Delta Ranking

The programme ranks districts based on the improvement achieved month-on-month through the Champions of Change dashboard. Districts enter data for the previous month by the 20th of the next month, after which the dashboard automatically updates ranking based on the entered data.

This ranking incorporates feedback from NITI Aayog's knowledge partners TATA Trusts and BMGF (IDInsight), and broadly reflects improvement made by districts between June & October 2018. The methodology is briefly explained below.

Methodology

As the first ranking that incorporates inputs from the household survey, the total number of indicators can be classified into three

*Indicators exclusively estimated through household survey
*Indicators reported by districts & central ministries
*Indicators reported by districts & validated by knowledge partners

Delta Scores are calculated for each category of indicators (D1, D2, & D3 respectively) and the final score is a function of the delta scores and a correction factor, CF.

Final Delta Score = Fn (D1, D2, D3, CF)

P.S. Wayanad (Kerala) is not part of this ranking as household survey data was unavailable

Most & Least Improved Districts - Overall

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement

1 **Virudhunagar**
Tamil Nadu

Kiphire
Nagaland **107**

2 **Nuapada**
Odisha

Giridih
Jharkhand **108**

3 **Siddharthnagar**
Uttar Pradesh

Chatra
Jharkhand **109**

4 **Aurangabad**
Bihar

Hailakandi
Assam **110**

5 **Koraput**
Odisha

Pakur
Jharkhand **111**

Fast Movers - Delta Ranking
June & October 2018

Below are the districts which have significantly increased the pace of their improvement since the previous delta ranking

JUNE		OCTOBER
108	**Kupwara** Jammu & Kashmir	7
106	**Ranchi** Jharkhand	10
101	**Siddharthnagar** Uttar Pradesh	3
99	**Jamui** Bihar	9
82	**Fatehpur** Uttar Pradesh	25

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Health & Nutrition

Health & Nutrition

1 **Chitrakoot**
Uttar Pradesh

Purbi Singhbhum
Jharkhand **107**

2 **Barwani**
Madhya Pradesh

Godda
Jharkhand **108**

3 **Vidisha**
Madhya Pradesh

Hazaribagh
Jharkhand **109**

4 **Raichur**
Karnataka

Pakur
Jharkhand **110**

5 **Balrampur**
Uttar Pradesh

Chatra
Jharkhand **111**

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Education

Education

1 **Virudhunagar**
Tamil Nadu

Sukma
Chhattisgarh **107**

2 **Nuapada**
Odisha

Hailakandi
Assam **108**

3 **Gumla**
Jharkhand

Malkangiri
Odisha **109**

4 **Siddharthnagar**
Uttar Pradesh

Yadgir
Karnataka **110**

5 **Vizianagaram**
Andhra Pradesh

Pakur
Jharkhand **111**

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Agriculture & Water Resources

Agriculture & Water Resources

1 **Sitamarhi**
Bihar

Dhubri
Assam **107**

2 **Gajapati**
Odisha

Chatra
Jharkhand **108**

3 **Dhalai**
Tripura

Begusarai
Bihar **109**

4 **Chandauli**
Uttar Pradesh

Hailakandi
Assam **110**

5 **Fatehpur**
Uttar Pradesh

Giridih
Jharkhand **111**

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Financial Inclusion

Financial Inclusion

1 **Kupwara**
Jammu & Kashmir

Dantewada
Chhattisgarh **107**

2 **Baramula**
Jammu & Kashmir

Kondagaon
Chhattisgarh **108**

3 **Raichur**
Karnataka

Namsai
Arunachal **109**

4 **Yadgir**
Karnataka

Asifabad
Telangana **110**

5 **Mamit**
Mizoram

Chandel
Manipur **111**

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Skill Development

Skill Development

1 **Raichur**
Karnataka

Purbi Singhbhum
Jharkhand **107**

2 **Ramgarh**
Jharkhand

Ribhoi
Meghalaya **108**

3 **Dantewada**
Chhattisgarh

Guna
Madhya Pradesh **109**

4 **Rayagada**
Odisha

Kupwara
Jammu & Kashmir **110**

**5** **Baramula**
Jammu & Kashmir

Khammam
Telangana **111**

Most & Least Improved Districts - Themes

Based on the described methodology, the following are the districts which have shown the most & least improvement in Basic Infrastructure

Basic Infrastructure

1 **Virudhunagar**
Tamil Nadu

Kiphire
Nagaland **107**

2 **Nuapada**
Odisha

Giridih
Jharkhand **108**

3 **Siddharthnagar**
Uttar Pradesh

Chatra
Jharkhand **109**

4 **Aurangabad**
Bihar

Hailakandi
Assam **110**

5 **Koraput**
Odisha

Pakur
Jharkhand **111**

Appendix

Detailed Ranking Methodology

Methodology - Second Delta Ranking of Aspirational Districts

NITI Aayog

December 2018

Second Delta Ranking This ranking will incorporate inputs from the household survey conducted the partner agencies, TATA Trusts & IDInsight. The methodology is detailed in this document.

Data For this ranking, the following data has been used.

- Indicators estimated by survey agencies through household surveys in June 2018, & their corresponding baseline values as entered by the districts on the Champions of Change Dashboard. (9)

- Self-reported (non-validated) indicators in June & Oct with either monthly or quarterly frequency (38)

- Self-reported (validated) indicator in June & Oct with either monthly or quarterly frequency. (20)

- Point-estimates, upper & lower confidence interval of 20 survey-validated indicators provided by survey agencies. (20)

Calculating Delta Score The methodology adopted is detailed below:

- Each indicator for each time-period is scaled to get the scaled value s_{ijk} - i (indicator); j (survey only, self-reported (non-validated), or self-reported (validated); k (time-period)

For positive indicator

$$s = \frac{x - min}{max - min}$$

Detailed Ranking Methodology

For negative indicator

$$s = \frac{max - x}{max - min}$$

- We then calculate the weighted sum of the scaled values for j=1,2,3 & k=1,2 to get ws_{jk}

$$ws_{jk} = \sum_i w_{jk} \times s_{jk}$$

- Calculate λ, the correction factor

$$\lambda = \frac{no\ of\ self-reported\ values\ within\ confidence\ interval}{no\ of\ validated\ indicators}$$

- For each time period, we calculate the composite score based on the following formula. For e.g., for time period 1 we use

$$cs_1 = \frac{ws_{11} + ws_{21} + (ws_{31} \times \lambda)}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3}$$

- Once we have cs_1 & cs_2, the calculation of delta score is straightforward (α has been explained later in the document)

$$\Delta = cs_2 - cs_1 + \alpha$$

Half-yearly baseline ranking Along with the delta ranking, we also calculate a half-yearly baseline ranking using all the 81 data-points with the latest available data. The same methodology as explained above is followed for data as on 31st October 2018.

$$cs_{oct} = \frac{ws_1 + ws_2 + (ws_3 \times \lambda)}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3}$$

Baseline The score arranged in descending order gives us the baseline ranking

Use of Survey Validated Data For 20 data-points self-reported by the districts, we have survey estimates provided by the agencies. The following methodology is used for replacement of self-reported values with survey estimates.

- If the self-reported value falls within the confidence interval, **do not replace self-reported value**

Detailed Ranking Methodology

- If the self-reported value is greater than the upper confidence interval, **replace with the upper confidence interval**

- If the self-reported value is lower than the lower confidence interval, **replace with the lower confidence interval**

Things to note   Kindly note the following

- Treatment of missing values: If a district has not entered value for a particular indicator in the month of October 2018, we took September 2018 value, so on and so forth.

- Treatment of values above 100%: Consider all values above 100% as 100%.

- Treatment of districts maintaining 100% (saturated districts): For districts maintaining 100% in both the time-periods (June and October 2018), an additional score α has been added to the delta score. α is the average improvement recorded by unsaturated districts in indicators under 'Basic Infrastructure'.

List of Indicators: The list of indicators under each category are listed below.

- Indicators estimated through survey

    - Health: 8.1, 10.1
    - Education: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 4

- Self-Reported (non-validated indicators)

    - Health: 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 5, 11, 12.1, 12.2, 13.5, 13.6
    - Education: 7, 8
    - Agriculture: 1.1, 3.1, 4, 10
    - Financial Inclusion & Skill Development: All
    - Basic Infrastructure: All

- Survey Validated indicators

    - Health: 1.2, 1.3, 2, 4.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 7, 9.1, 9.2, 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4, 13.7
    - Education: 2, 5, 6
    - Agriculture: 8, 9

Delta Ranking - Full List

No.	State	District	Overall	Health	Edu	Agri	FI	SD	BI
1	ANDHRA PRADESH	Visakhapatnam	106	59	106	106	26	74	106
2	ANDHRA PRADESH	Vizianagaram	11	63	5	104	34	102	10
3	ANDHRA PRADESH	Y.S.R.	19	66	20	102	81	39	18
4	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	Namsai	8	27	10	82	109	61	7
5	ASSAM	Baksa	79	12	92	87	99	34	79
6	ASSAM	Barpeta	89	43	100	69	23	86	89
7	ASSAM	Darrang	47	7	62	80	19	94	46
8	ASSAM	Dhubri	96	60	85	107	87	65	96
9	ASSAM	Goalpara	58	34	61	59	42	50	57
10	ASSAM	Hailakandi	110	93	108	110	74	101	110
11	ASSAM	Udalguri	15	8	46	18	73	9	14
12	BIHAR	Araria	76	16	104	32	48	13	76
13	BIHAR	Aurangabad	4	32	6	11	17	80	4
14	BIHAR	Banka	46	54	43	14	53	89	45
15	BIHAR	Begusarai	67	74	65	109	11	38	66
16	BIHAR	Gaya	73	65	69	101	79	22	73
17	BIHAR	Jamui	9	22	15	98	35	90	8
18	BIHAR	Katihar	93	95	93	65	49	23	93
19	BIHAR	Khagaria	55	21	81	46	25	69	54
20	BIHAR	Muzaffarpur	81	29	103	7	31	46	81
21	BIHAR	Nawada	42	20	72	86	75	79	41
22	BIHAR	Purnia	71	40	75	36	27	72	70
23	BIHAR	Sheikhpura	83	51	96	81	45	36	83
24	BIHAR	Sitamarhi	78	78	101	1	51	67	78
25	CHHATTISGARH	Bastar	94	96	84	30	80	33	94
26	CHHATTISGARH	Bijapur	40	84	19	94	96	56	39
27	CHHATTISGARH	Dantewada	12	41	24	25	107	3	11
28	CHHATTISGARH	Kanker	28	76	14	24	88	66	27
29	CHHATTISGARH	Kondagaon	38	101	13	57	108	60	37
30	CHHATTISGARH	Korba	45	68	37	44	63	96	44
31	CHHATTISGARH	Mahasamund	23	75	27	61	18	11	22
32	CHHATTISGARH	Narayanpur	63	62	57	29	95	75	62
33	CHHATTISGARH	Rajnandgaon	26	73	9	21	86	68	25
34	CHHATTISGARH	Sukma	98	24	107	20	89	24	98
35	GUJARAT	Dahod	59	70	59	84	36	71	58
36	GUJARAT	Narmada	17	23	39	99	24	17	16
37	HARYANA	Mewat	30	13	42	75	93	77	29
38	HIMACHAL PRADESH	Chamba	27	58	22	12	39	93	26
39	JAMMU & KASHMIR	Baramula	31	36	53	31	2	5	30
40	JAMMU & KASHMIR	Kupwara	7	35	11	10	1	110	71
41	JHARKHAND	Bokaro	57	98	26	70	55	85	56
42	JHARKHAND	Chatra	109	111	78	108	83	19	109
43	JHARKHAND	Dumka	75	99	50	77	60	35	75
44	JHARKHAND	Garhwa	62	105	33	96	64	21	61
45	JHARKHAND	Giridih	108	97	71	111	30	76	108
46	JHARKHAND	Godda	51	108	16	76	62	51	50
47	JHARKHAND	Gumla	6	77	3	63	85	26	6
48	JHARKHAND	Hazaribagh	102	109	76	37	37	8	102
49	JHARKHAND	Khunti	74	103	38	48	92	47	74
50	JHARKHAND	Latehar	103	104	86	22	76	29	103
51	JHARKHAND	Lohardaga	18	45	23	41	46	37	17
52	JHARKHAND	Pakur	111	110	111	35	12	30	111
53	JHARKHAND	Palamu	39	56	44	67	52	99	38
54	JHARKHAND	Pashchimi Singhbhum	97	100	77	73	33	7	97
55	JHARKHAND	Purbi Singhbhum	86	107	28	71	54	107	86
56	JHARKHAND	Ramgarh	91	102	90	47	22	2	91

Appendix

Delta Ranking - Full List

No.	State	District	Overall	Health	Edu	Agri	FI	SD	BI
57	JHARKHAND	Ranchi	10	25	12	17	10	105	9
58	JHARKHAND	Sahibganj	104	106	91	72	14	44	104
59	JHARKHAND	Simdega	50	44	49	38	71	27	49
60	KARNATAKA	Raichur	53	4	89	100	3	1	52
61	KARNATAKA	Yadgir	101	52	110	49	4	20	101
62	MADHYA PRADESH	Barwani	82	2	105	91	67	31	82
63	MADHYA PRADESH	Chhatarpur	87	91	74	93	59	42	87
64	MADHYA PRADESH	Damoh	54	94	58	15	65	14	53
65	MADHYA PRADESH	East Nimar	80	28	95	97	32	28	80
66	MADHYA PRADESH	Guna	65	9	60	92	58	109	64
67	MADHYA PRADESH	Rajgarh	44	55	48	16	20	41	43
68	MADHYA PRADESH	Singrauli	13	11	36	6	102	88	12
69	MADHYA PRADESH	Vidisha	21	3	51	33	77	70	20
70	MAHARASHTRA	Gadchiroli	33	57	40	60	94	18	32
71	MAHARASHTRA	Nandurbar	84	64	87	45	82	32	84
72	MAHARASHTRA	Osmanabad	32	61	30	58	29	81	31
73	MAHARASHTRA	Washim	69	87	47	89	84	63	68
74	MANIPUR	Chandel	43	81	41	27	111	62	42
75	MEGHALAYA	Ribhoi	95	83	63	34	56	108	95
76	MIZORAM	Mamit	29	89	17	78	5	52	28
77	NAGALAND	Kiphire	107	90	99	56	106	59	107
78	ODISHA	Balangir	14	49	7	103	6	100	13
79	ODISHA	Dhenkanal	36	37	52	64	72	40	35
80	ODISHA	Gajapati	34	46	54	2	41	12	33
81	ODISHA	Kalahandi	85	39	73	83	40	55	85
82	ODISHA	Kandhamal	37	19	45	23	61	73	36
83	ODISHA	Koraput	5	26	8	62	47	64	5
84	ODISHA	Malkangiri	105	71	109	74	43	10	105
85	ODISHA	Nabarangapur	99	72	102	79	38	54	99
86	ODISHA	Nuapada	2	69	2	68	8	53	2
87	ODISHA	Rayagada	77	79	80	88	28	4	77
88	PUNJAB	Firozpur	16	15	18	26	68	106	15
89	PUNJAB	Moga	22	53	21	85	13	43	21
90	RAJASTHAN	Baran	90	86	97	52	57	16	90
91	RAJASTHAN	Dhaulpur	92	88	82	39	105	78	92
92	RAJASTHAN	Jaisalmer	100	82	98	50	100	15	100
93	RAJASTHAN	Karauli	88	80	88	13	78	45	88
94	RAJASTHAN	Sirohi	72	47	70	90	101	48	72
95	SIKKIM	West District	48	50	34	105	70	95	47
96	TAMIL NADU	Ramanathapuram	20	14	29	53	9	97	19
97	TAMIL NADU	Virudhunagar	1	31	1	66	15	103	1
98	TELANGANA	Asifabad	56	85	31	19	110	92	55
99	TELANGANA	Bhupalpally	35	48	25	8	69	84	34
100	TELANGANA	Khammam	68	92	32	95	66	111	67
101	TRIPURA	Dhalai	64	67	68	3	98	58	63
102	UTTAR PRADESH	Bahraich	66	6	79	42	104	91	65
103	UTTAR PRADESH	Balrampur	60	5	94	51	91	6	59
104	UTTAR PRADESH	Chandauli	49	18	64	4	50	83	48
105	UTTAR PRADESH	Chitrakoot	24	1	83	54	97	57	23
106	UTTAR PRADESH	Fatehpur	25	33	35	5	21	49	24
107	UTTAR PRADESH	Shrawasti	52	17	56	9	103	82	51
108	UTTAR PRADESH	Siddharthnagar	3	10	4	28	90	98	3
109	UTTAR PRADESH	Sonbhadra	41	38	55	40	44	25	40
110	UTTARAKHAND	Hardwar	61	30	66	43	16	87	60
111	UTTARAKHAND	Udham Singh Nagar	70	42	67	55	7	104	69

Half-Yearly Baseline Ranking

ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS: HALF-YEARLY BASELINE RANKING BASED ON LATEST AVAILABLE VALUE OF ALL INDICATORS AS ON 31 OCTOBER 2018

Rank	State	District	Rank	State	District	Rank	State	District
1	ANDHRA PRADESH	Vizianagaram	38	RAJASTHAN	Karauli	75	ODISHA	Kandhamal
2	TAMIL NADU	Ramanathapuram	39	ASSAM	Darrang	76	UTTAR PRADESH	Fatehpur
3	TAMIL NADU	Virudhunagar	40	JHARKHAND	Ramgarh	77	KARNATAKA	Yadgir
4	ANDHRA PRADESH	Y.S.R.	41	MADHYA PRADESH	Singrauli	78	CHHATTISGARH	Bijapur
5	ANDHRA PRADESH	Visakhapatnam	42	JHARKHAND	Simdega	79	JHARKHAND	Garhwa
6	MADHYA PRADESH	Damoh	43	BIHAR	Begusarai	80	BIHAR	Sheikhpura
7	JHARKHAND	Ranchi	44	MAHARASHTRA	Washim	81	BIHAR	Aurangabad
8	MADHYA PRADESH	Khandwa (East Nimar)	45	PUNJAB	Moga	82	ASSAM	Baksa
9	GUJARAT	Narmada	46	CHHATTISGARH	Kondagaon	83	JHARKHAND	Palamu
10	MADHYA PRADESH	Vidisha	47	MAHARASHTRA	Nandurbar	84	JHARKHAND	Dumka
11	CHHATTISGARH	Rajnandgaon	48	RAJASTHAN	Dhaulpur	85	BIHAR	Gaya
12	UTTAR PRADESH	Chitrakoot	49	MADHYA PRADESH	Chhatarpur	86	ODISHA	Balangir
13	PUNJAB	Firozpur	50	JHARKHAND	Godda	87	ODISHA	Koraput
14	HIMACHAL PRADESH	Chamba	51	MIZORAM	Mamit	88	JHARKHAND	Giridih
15	CHHATTISGARH	Mahasamund	52	JHARKHAND	Pashchimi Singhbhum	89	CHHATTISGARH	Narayanpur
16	GUJARAT	Dahod	53	TELANGANA	Asifabad (Adilabad)	90	BIHAR	Nawada
17	MAHARASHTRA	Osmanabad	54	RAJASTHAN	Jaisalmer	91	BIHAR	Muzaffarpur
18	CHHATTISGARH	Korba	55	UTTAR PRADESH	Siddharthnagar	92	UTTAR PRADESH	Bahraich
19	MADHYA PRADESH	Rajgarh	56	UTTAR PRADESH	Chandauli	93	JHARKHAND	Chatra
20	KARNATAKA	Raichur	57	ODISHA	Kalahandi	94	UTTAR PRADESH	Balrampur
21	JAMMU & KASHMIR	Baramula	58	UTTAR PRADESH	Sonbhadra	95	BIHAR	Sitamarhi
22	TELANGANA	Khammam	59	ODISHA	Nuapada	96	MANIPUR	Chandel
23	JHARKHAND	Bokaro	60	MADHYA PRADESH	Guna	97	HARYANA	Mewat
24	MAHARASHTRA	Gadchiroli	61	ASSAM	Dhubri	98	ARUNACHAL PRADESH	Namsai
25	JAMMU & KASHMIR	Kupwara	62	JHARKHAND	Gumla	99	JHARKHAND	Sahibganj
26	CHHATTISGARH	Dakshin Bastar Dantewada	63	ASSAM	Goalpara	100	BIHAR	Katihar
27	JHARKHAND	Purbi Singhbhum	64	ODISHA	Gajapati	101	BIHAR	Banka
28	RAJASTHAN	Baran	65	CHHATTISGARH	Bastar	102	MEGHALAYA	Ribhoi
29	KERALA	Wayand	66	RAJASTHAN	Sirohi	103	ODISHA	Malkangiri
30	SIKKIM	West District	67	BIHAR	Jamui	104	JHARKHAND	Pakur
31	ODISHA	Dhenkanal	68	ASSAM	Barpeta	105	JHARKHAND	Latehar
32	TELANGANA	Bhoopalapalli (Warangal)	69	ASSAM	Udalguri	106	BIHAR	Khagaria
33	CHHATTISGARH	Uttar Bastar Kanker	70	UTTAR PRADESH	Shrawasti	107	BIHAR	Araria
34	JHARKHAND	Lohardaga	71	UTTARAKHAND	Hardwar	108	ASSAM	Hailakandi
35	JHARKHAND	Khunti	72	MADHYA PRADESH	Barwani	109	ODISHA	Nabarangapur
36	UTTARAKHAND	Udham Singh Nagar	73	ODISHA	Rayagada	110	BIHAR	Purnia
37	JHARKHAND	Hazaribagh	74	TRIPURA	Dhalai	111	NAGALAND	Kiphire
						112	CHHATTISGARH	Sukma

सत्यमेव जयते

नीति आयोग

National Institution for Transforming India

ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS PROGRAMME

CHAMPIONSOFCHANGE.GOV.IN